



TESCO METERING

# NEW ANSI STANDARD AND APPLICATION IN THE FIELD



Tom Lawton  
TESCO Metering

*North Carolina Meter School  
Advanced  
Wednesday June 11, 2025  
3:30 PM*

## Purpose:

To provide guidelines and expectations for the field testing of electric meters, recognizing that field conditions differ significantly from laboratory environments. The goal is to ensure meter accuracy and identify outliers without requiring full environmental control.



**American National Standard**  
**for Field Testing**  
**of Electricity Meters**

NOTICE OF ADOPTION  
ANSI C12.29 was adopted and is approved for use by the Department of Defense (DoD). The National Electrical Manufacturers Association has furnished the clearance required by existing regulations. Copies of the document are stocked at the Standardization Documents Order Desk, Building 4D, 700 Robbins Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19111-5094, for issue to DoD activities only. All other requestors must obtain copies from NEMA.

Secretariat:

**National Electrical Manufacturers Association**

Approved

**American National Standards Institute, Inc.**



TESCO METERING

# ANSI ACCREDITED STANDARDS COMMITTEE

William Hardy, Chairperson ANSI C12/SC29  
Tom Nelson, Chairperson ANSI C12  
Paul Orr, Secretary



Aclara  
Baltimore Gas & Electric Company  
Brooks Utility Products  
Duke Energy  
Edwards Precision Power  
Elevate Energy  
EnerNex  
Ercot  
Eurofins MET Labs  
Florida Power & Light Company  
Future DOS R&D Inc.  
Greenville Light & Power  
Honeywell Smart Energy  
Hydro Quebec  
Itron, Inc.  
Landis+Gyr  
Milbank Manufacturing Company  
Networked Energy Services  
Corporation  
NIST  
Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
Power Measurements, LLC  
Public Service Electric & Gas  
Radian Research, Inc.  
Sask Power  
Schneider Electric  
Schweitzer Engineering Laboratories,  
Inc.  
Sensus, A Xylem Brand  
Southern Company  
Technology for Energy Corporation  
TESCO - The Eastern Specialty  
Company  
UL LLC  
Watthour Engineering Company, Inc.  
Xcel Energy

Curt Crittenden  
Sean Gorman  
Robert Kiessling  
Kerry Barnette  
Shannon Edwards  
Lawrence Kotewa  
Aaron Snyder  
Don Tucker  
Jim Reed  
Eduardo Sotolongo  
Avygdor Moise  
Ron Zook  
Scott Holdscaw  
Jean-Luc Sabourin  
Brent Cain  
Frank Boudreau  
Shawn Glasgow  
Larry Colton

Tom Nelson  
Zach Hughes  
Alex Yan  
William Hardy  
David Ellis  
Frank Boudreau  
Bin Lu  
Piotr Przydatek  
Don MacArthur

Andrew Dudding  
Anthony Bell  
Steve Hudson  
Tom Lawton  
  
Scott Hunter  
Lea Wren  
Dan Nordell

## ANSI C12 C12.29 Subcommittee Members

William Hardy, Chair Power Measurements, LLC  
Shannon Edwards, Vice-Chair NIST



Organization Represented	Name of Representative	Organization Represented	Name of Representative
Alabama Power Company	D. Rhoades	NIST	T. Nelson
Baltimore Gas & Electric	J. Thurber	NIST	A. Thompson
Consumers Energy	D. Jirakovic	Oncor Electric Delivery Co., LLC	B. Johnson
DTE Energy	K. Tolios	Pacific Gas & Electric	D. Y. Nguyen
Duke Energy	T. Morgan	Power Measurements, LLC	W. Hardy
ERCOT	D. Tucker	Public Service Electric & Gas	D. Ellis
Elevate Energy	L. Kotewa	Radian Research Inc.	S. Edwards
Elster Solutions	S. Weikel	Schneider Electric	S. Pedro
EnerNex LLC	A. Snyder	Schweitzer Engineering Lab	T. Mooney
Eversource Energy	G. Belcher	Technology for Energy Corp.	S. Hudson
Florida Power & Light	J. DeMars	TESCO	T. Lawton
Future DOS R&D Inc.	A. Moise	UL, LLC	S. Hunter
Aclara	C. Crittenden	Watthour Engineering Co.	L. Wren
Itron, Inc.	B. Cain	Xcel Energy EMC	D. Nordell
Landis+Gyr	J. Voisine	Landis+Gyr	F. Boudreau
MET Laboratories, Inc.	J. Reed		



Published by

National Electrical Manufacturers Association  
1300 North 17th Street, Rosslyn, VA 22209

Copyright 2023 by National Electrical Manufacturers Association

## 1 SCOPE

This document establishes guidelines for the testing of electricity meters in the field.

## 2 BACKGROUND

Testing of electricity meters in the field is significantly different than testing meters in a laboratory. In the field there may be little control of the environment or load conditions. The purpose of this document is to describe the conditions under which field test can be expected to provide useful results and the errors one might encounter.

The philosophy behind the approach taken here is quite different from the normal laboratory approach. In the laboratory the technician carefully establishes the environmental conditions then performs the testing. In the field we generally have neither control over the environment nor detailed knowledge of it. Therefore, the approach of this document is to perform the test without prior consideration of the details of test conditions. If the results fall within the expected errors, then the meter is accepted as passing the test. If the results fall outside of the expected range, then further investigation is done to determine if the test conditions fall outside the normal range. If they are within the normal range, then the meter has failed the test. If the operating conditions fall outside the normal range, then further evaluation is required.

## 3 REFERENCES

- ANSI C12.1 *American National Standard for Electric Meters, Code for Electricity Metering*
- ANSI C12.20 *American National Standard for Electricity Meters—0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 Accuracy Classes*



## 4 ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

In field testing we do not have control of the test environment.

### 4.1 Temperature

For the purpose of field testing, temperature has been broken into three ranges:

**Table 1 – Temperature ranges**

Low Temperature Range (LTR)	$T_{min} < T < 0^{\circ}\text{C}$	where $T_{min}$ is the lowest operating temperature certified by the meter manufacturer
Normal Temperature Range (NTR)	$0^{\circ}\text{C} \leq T \leq 50^{\circ}\text{C}$	Range over which performance is expected to match the “Nominal” requirements
High Temperature Range (HTR)	$50^{\circ}\text{C} < T < T_{max}$	where $T_{max}$ is the highest operating temperature certified by the meter manufacturer





## 4.2 Voltage

The voltage used to excite the meter should be within the operating range specified by the manufacturer. Meter excitation may be provided by the test equipment or from the customer service.

For the purpose of field testing, voltage quality has been broken into two ranges:

**Table 2 Voltage Quality (Harmonic content)**

Normal Harmonic Distortion (NHD)	THD $\leq$ 30%	Range over which harmonic distortion on the voltage is expected to have no significant effect.
High Harmonic Distortion (HHD)	THD $>$ 30%	Range over which harmonic distortion on the voltage may have an effect outside of normal accuracy expectations.



## 4.3 Current

The current used to excite the meter may be any current greater than the low current limit of the current class and less than the maximum current class. Meter excitation may be provided by the test equipment or from the customer service.

For the purpose of field testing, current has been broken into two ranges for each current class.

**Table 3 – Current ranges by current class**

Current Class	Low Current	Normal Current
2	$I < 0.025$	$0.025 \leq I \leq 2$
10	$I < 0.25$	$0.25 \leq I \leq 10$
20	$I < 0.25$	$0.25 \leq I \leq 20$
100	$I < 1.5$	$1.5 \leq I \leq 100$
200	$I < 3.0$	$3.0 \leq I \leq 200$
320	$I < 5.0$	$5.0 \leq I \leq 320$

For the purpose of field testing, current has been broken into two ranges based on the harmonic content of the current.

**Table 4 – Current ranges by harmonic content**

Low Harmonic Content (LHC)	$\text{THD} \leq 100\%$	Range over which harmonic distortion on the current is expected to have no significant effect.
High Harmonic Content (HHC)	$\text{THD} > 100\%$	Range over which harmonic distortion on the current may have an effect outside of normal accuracy expectations.





## 4.4 Frequency

Frequencies within the range of  $60\text{Hz} \pm 1.2\text{ Hz}$  shall be considered within the normal range of operation.

## 4.5 Other influence quantities and disturbances

In type testing we are able to control all influence quantities and disturbances. In the field we not only cannot control these quantities we generally cannot determine their presence, absence or strength. Therefore, the error limits set in this document include an assumption that they are sufficiently small to not cause errors outside the limits presented herein.



## 5 TEST METHODS

### 5.1 Testing using test set supplied voltages and currents

In this approach the test voltages and currents are supplied by the test equipment. The tester has total control over the voltage and load conditions so any voltage – load can be tested. Because the voltage and current waveforms can be completely controlled, errors associated with unknown harmonics, changing levels and deviations from nominal are minimized.



### 5.2 Testing using site voltage and test set supplied current

In this approach the test voltage is the site voltage. The test current is supplied by the test equipment. The tester has control over the load, so multiple load conditions can be tested. Since for most situations the site voltage is much more stable and free of harmonics, having the test equipment supply a well controlled current has most of the advantages of 5.1.



## 5.3 Testing using site voltage and current

In this approach the test voltage and test current are the actual voltage and current provided by the site. The tester has no control over the voltage or load conditions. Under customer load conditions the performance of the meter in its actual application is tested. In such a test, the applicable error in situ can be determined. Under extreme load conditions errors can be larger than under controlled conditions.





## 5.4 Test equipment requirements

Field test equipment should have “in the field” uncertainty of not more than one quarter of the accuracy class of the meter being tested. Minimum and preferred uncertainties for each accuracy class are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1 – Field Test Equipment Accuracy**

<b>Meter Accuracy Class</b>	<b>Minimum Test Equipment Uncertainty</b>	<b>Preferred Test Equipment Uncertainty</b>
0.1%	0.025%	0.01%
0.2%	0.05%	0.02%
0.5%	0.05%	0.05%
1.0%	0.05%	0.05%

Note: The test equipment manufacturer shall specify under what environmental and signal conditions the test set meets the above requirements.



## 6 PERFORMANCE EXPECTATIONS

This document is not intended to set forth mandatory performance requirements for meter installations in the field. It is intended to provide guidance as to what range of performance should be considered “normal” and what should generate concern and additional action.



## 6.1 Performance under normal operating conditions

For the purpose of this document, NORMAL operating conditions are defined as:

Temperature:	$0^{\circ}\text{C} \leq T \leq 50^{\circ}\text{C}$
Voltage:	Within range specified by manufacturer
Voltage distortion:	$\text{THD} \leq 30\%$
Current:	Within the normal current range for the current class
Current harmonics:	$\text{THD} \leq 50\%$
Frequency:	$60\text{ Hz} \pm 0.5\text{ Hz}$
Power Factor	0.5 capacitive to 0.5 inductive

Under normal operating conditions the allowable meter error is given in Table 2

**Table 2 – Allowable meter error**

Meter Accuracy Class	Allowable Error
0.1%	0.25%
0.2%	0.5%
0.5%	1.0%
1.0%	2.0%

As stated in 2.0 Background, if the meter passes the test, (the measured error is less than that in Table 2), the meter should be considered good and no further investigation is necessary. If the meter fails the test then further investigation of the influence conditions which might be present is required.



## 6.2 Error under other operating conditions

When the operating conditions are outside of normal range, that does not create an expectation that the meter's error should be outside the maximum allowable error in Table 2. Rather, the indication is that if the error exceeds the maximum expected error, then further investigation may be warranted to determine the reason that the errors exceed expectation.

This effort might include additional measurements such as:

- Ambient temperature
- Meter surface temperature
- Voltage values
- Current values
- Harmonic content of voltage
- Harmonic content of current

Most of the above measurements are readily available on all modern field test systems.

Some possible influences may not be measurable but are appropriate to record:

- Potential sources of high AC electric fields
- Potential sources of large RF fields
- Condition of socket and associated wiring



## Practical Implications:

- Guides utilities and meter service teams in interpreting field test data.
- Encourages data-driven triage—don't assume failure unless environmental influences are ruled out.
- Supports field-based quality assurance without over-reliance on lab retesting.

## Adoption & Use:

- Adopted by the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD).
- Recognized standard for meter performance verification in uncontrolled settings.



Tom Lawton

*President*

[tom.lawton@tescometering.com](mailto:tom.lawton@tescometering.com)

**TESCO Metering** *Bristol, PA*

**215.228.0500**



This presentation can also be found under Meter Conferences and Schools on the TESCO website: [tescometering.com](http://tescometering.com)

**ISO 9001:2015 Certified Quality Company**  
**ISO 17025:2017 Accredited Laboratory**